

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Under Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2012

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 1-8351

CHEMED CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

31-0791746
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

255 E. Fifth Street, Suite 2600, Cincinnati, Ohio
(Address of principal executive offices)

45202
(Zip code)

(513) 762-6500
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Amount	Date
Capital Stock \$1 Par Value	19,099,057 Shares	June 30, 2012

**CHEMED CORPORATION AND
SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES**

Index

	<u>Page No.</u>
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION:</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Balance Sheet - June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u>	3
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statement of Income - Three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	4
<u>Unaudited Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows - Six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	5
<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	17
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	31
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	31
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	31
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	31
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	32
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	32
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	32
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	32
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	32
EX - 31.1	
EX - 31.2	
EX - 31.3	
EX - 32.1	
EX - 32.2	
EX - 32.3	
EX - 101.INS	
EX - 101.SCH	
EX - 101.CAL	
EX - 101.DEF	
EX - 101.LAB	
EX - 101.PRE	

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Item 1. Financial Statements
CHEMED CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET
(in thousands, except share and per share data)

	<u>June 30,</u> <u>2012</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2011</u>
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 59,966	\$ 38,081
Accounts receivable less allowances of \$11,414 (2011 - \$11,524)	81,811	77,924
Inventories - net	8,146	8,668
Current deferred income taxes	13,226	12,540
Prepaid income taxes	4,187	2,131
Prepaid expenses	10,737	11,409
Total current assets	<u>178,073</u>	<u>150,753</u>
Investments of deferred compensation plans	33,215	31,629
Properties and equipment, at cost, less accumulated depreciation of \$155,406 (2011 - \$146,709)	88,571	82,951
Identifiable intangible assets less accumulated amortization of \$29,654 (2011 - \$28,904)	57,635	58,262
Goodwill	461,965	460,633
Other assets	11,669	11,677
Total Assets	<u>\$ 831,128</u>	<u>\$ 795,905</u>
LIABILITIES		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 51,002	\$ 48,225
Income taxes	167	90
Accrued insurance	36,786	37,147
Accrued compensation	39,729	41,087
Other current liabilities	14,906	18,851
Total current liabilities	<u>142,590</u>	<u>145,400</u>
Deferred income taxes	25,257	29,463
Long-term debt	170,769	166,784
Deferred compensation liabilities	33,149	30,693
Other liabilities	11,918	9,881
Total Liabilities	<u>383,683</u>	<u>382,221</u>
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Capital stock - authorized 80,000,000 shares \$1 par; issued 31,142,442 shares (2011 - 30,936,925 shares)	31,142	30,937
Paid-in capital	410,957	398,094
Retained earnings	582,316	546,757
Treasury stock - 12,141,664 shares (2011 - 11,880,051)	(579,013)	(564,091)
Deferred compensation payable in Company stock	2,043	1,987
Total Stockholders' Equity	<u>447,445</u>	<u>413,684</u>
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	<u>\$ 831,128</u>	<u>\$ 795,905</u>

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

CHEMED CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME
(in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service revenues and sales	\$ 354,170	\$ 333,360	\$ 707,113	\$ 664,278
Cost of services provided and goods sold (excluding depreciation)	257,368	239,597	514,813	477,055
Selling, general and administrative expenses	49,770	50,424	102,937	106,078
Depreciation	6,380	6,358	12,621	12,646
Amortization	1,127	1,139	2,240	2,109
Total costs and expenses	<u>314,645</u>	<u>297,518</u>	<u>632,611</u>	<u>597,888</u>
Income from operations	39,525	35,842	74,502	66,390
Interest expense	(3,672)	(3,461)	(7,289)	(6,705)
Other income/(expense) - net	(970)	714	1,125	2,816
Income before income taxes	<u>34,883</u>	<u>33,095</u>	<u>68,338</u>	<u>62,501</u>
Income taxes	(13,609)	(12,809)	(26,619)	(24,114)
Net income	<u>\$ 21,274</u>	<u>\$ 20,286</u>	<u>\$ 41,719</u>	<u>\$ 38,387</u>
Earnings Per Share				
Net income	\$ 1.12	\$ 0.96	\$ 2.20	\$ 1.82
Average number of shares outstanding	<u>18,998</u>	<u>21,115</u>	<u>18,976</u>	<u>21,067</u>
Diluted Earnings Per Share				
Net income	\$ 1.10	\$ 0.94	\$ 2.16	\$ 1.78
Average number of shares outstanding	<u>19,369</u>	<u>21,637</u>	<u>19,357</u>	<u>21,586</u>
Cash Dividends Per Share	<u>\$ 0.16</u>	<u>\$ 0.14</u>	<u>\$ 0.32</u>	<u>\$ 0.28</u>

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

CHEMED CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net income	\$ 41,719	\$ 38,387
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	14,861	14,755
Deferred income taxes	(4,895)	(18)
Provision for uncollectible accounts receivable	4,730	4,365
Amortization of discount on convertible notes	3,985	3,724
Stock option expense	4,312	4,495
Noncash long-term incentive compensation	-	2,595
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, excluding amounts acquired in business combinations:		
Increase in accounts receivable	(8,543)	(9,271)
Decrease/(increase) in inventories	522	(954)
Decrease/(increase) in prepaid expenses	672	(59)
Decrease in accounts payable and other current liabilities	(3,593)	(6,603)
Increase/(decrease) in income taxes	(1,029)	3,738
Increase in other assets	(2,283)	(5,652)
Increase in other liabilities	4,493	4,514
Excess tax benefit on share-based compensation	(1,069)	(3,339)
Other sources	773	450
Net cash provided by operating activities	54,655	51,127
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Capital expenditures	(18,474)	(14,960)
Business combinations, net of cash acquired	(1,500)	(3,689)
Other sources/(uses)	357	(869)
Net cash used by investing activities	(19,617)	(19,518)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Dividends paid	(6,160)	(5,967)
Purchases of treasury stock	(12,841)	(25,482)
Proceeds from issuance of capital stock	3,670	7,698
Excess tax benefit on share-based compensation	1,069	3,339
Increase/(decrease) in cash overdrafts payable	985	(7,814)
Debt issuance costs	-	(2,723)
Other sources	124	364
Net cash used by financing activities	(13,153)	(30,585)
Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	21,885	1,024
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	38,081	49,917
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 59,966	\$ 50,941

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

CHEMED CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES

Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Basis of Presentation

As used herein, the terms "We," "Company" and "Chemed" refer to Chemed Corporation or Chemed Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries.

We have prepared the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Chemed in accordance with Rule 10-01 of SEC Regulation S-X. Consequently, we have omitted certain disclosures required under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("GAAP") for complete financial statements. The December 31, 2011 balance sheet data were derived from audited financial statements but do not include all disclosures required by GAAP. However, in our opinion, the financial statements presented herein contain all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly our financial position, results of operations and cash flows. These financial statements are prepared on the same basis as and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

2. Revenue Recognition

Both the VITAS segment and the Roto-Rooter segment recognize service revenues and sales when the earnings process has been completed. Generally, this occurs when services are provided or products are delivered. VITAS recognizes revenue at the estimated realizable amount due from third-party payers. Medicare payments are subject to certain limitations, as described below.

As of June 30, 2012, VITAS has approximately \$510,000 in unbilled revenue included in accounts receivable (December 31, 2011 - \$720,000). The unbilled revenue at VITAS relates to hospice programs currently undergoing focused medical reviews ("FMR"). During FMR, surveyors working on behalf of the U.S. Federal government review certain patient files for compliance with Medicare regulations. During the time the patient file is under review, we are unable to bill for care provided to those patients. We make appropriate provisions to reduce our revenue and accounts receivable balance for potential denials of patient service revenue due to FMR activity.

We actively monitor each of our hospice programs, by provider number, as to their specific admission, discharge rate and median length of stay data in an attempt to determine whether they are likely to exceed the annual per-beneficiary Medicare cap ("Medicare cap"). Should we determine that revenues for a program are likely to exceed the Medicare cap based on projected trends, we attempt to institute corrective action to influence the patient mix or to increase patient admissions. However, should we project our corrective action will not prevent that program from exceeding its Medicare cap, we estimate the amount of revenue recognized during the period that will require repayment to the Federal government under the Medicare cap and record the amount as a reduction to patient revenue. The Medicare cap measurement period is from September 29 through September 28 of the following year for admissions and from November 1 through October 31 of the following year for revenue.

During the three-month period ended June 30, 2012, we did not record any Medicare cap liability. During the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, we reversed Medicare cap liability for amounts recorded in the fourth quarter of 2011 for three programs' projected 2012 measurement period liability. We reversed these amounts as improving admissions trends in these programs indicate that the liability had been eliminated.

Shown below is the Medicare cap liability activity for the periods ended (in thousands):

	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Beginning balance January 1,	\$ 2,965	\$ 1,371
Reversal - 2012 measurement period	(2,577)	-
Expense - 2011 measurement period	-	299
Reversal - 2011 measurement period	-	(743)
Other	-	(198)
Ending balance June 30,	<u>\$ 388</u>	<u>\$ 729</u>

Vitas provides charity care, in certain circumstances, to patients without charge when management of the hospice program determines, at the time services are performed, that the patient does not have the financial wherewithal to make payment. There is no revenue or associated accounts receivable in the accompanying consolidated financial statements related to charity care. The cost of charity care is calculated by taking the ratio of charity care days to total days of care and multiplying by total cost of care. The cost of charity care is as follows (in thousands):

Three months ended June 30,				Six months ended June 30,			
2012		2011		2012		2011	
\$	1,789	\$	1,763	\$	4,038	\$	3,522

3. Segments

Service revenues and sales and after-tax earnings by business segment are as follows (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service Revenues and Sales				
VITAS	\$ 265,213	\$ 243,095	\$ 526,060	\$ 478,768
Roto-Rooter	88,957	90,265	181,053	185,510
Total	\$ 354,170	\$ 333,360	\$ 707,113	\$ 664,278
After-tax Earnings				
VITAS	\$ 20,433	\$ 18,589	\$ 40,060	\$ 36,714
Roto-Rooter	8,074	9,092	15,569	17,602
Total	28,507	27,681	55,629	54,316
Corporate	(7,233)	(7,395)	(13,910)	(15,929)
Net income	\$ 21,274	\$ 20,286	\$ 41,719	\$ 38,387

We report corporate administrative expenses and unallocated investing and financing income and expense not directly related to either segment as "Corporate".

4. Earnings per Share

Earnings per share ("EPS") are computed using the weighted average number of shares of capital stock outstanding. Earnings and diluted earnings per share are computed as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

For the Three Months Ended June 30,	Net Income		Earnings per Share
	Income	Shares	
2012			
Earnings	\$ 21,274	18,998	\$ 1.12
Dilutive stock options	-	288	
Nonvested stock awards	-	83	
Diluted earnings	\$ 21,274	19,369	\$ 1.10
2011			
Earnings	\$ 20,286	21,115	\$ 0.96
Dilutive stock options	-	433	
Nonvested stock awards	-	89	
Diluted earnings	\$ 20,286	21,637	\$ 0.94

For the Six Months Ended June 30,	Net Income		
	Income	Shares	Earnings per Share
2012			
Earnings	\$ 41,719	18,976	\$ 2.20
Dilutive stock options	-	294	
Nonvested stock awards	-	87	
Diluted earnings	\$ 41,719	19,357	\$ 2.16
2011			
Earnings	\$ 38,387	21,067	\$ 1.82
Dilutive stock options	-	433	
Nonvested stock awards	-	86	
Diluted earnings	\$ 38,387	21,586	\$ 1.78

For the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, 1.4 million stock options were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share as their exercise prices were greater than the average market price for most of the period. For the three and six-month period ended June 30, 2011, 970,000 stock options were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share.

Diluted earnings per share may be impacted in the future as the result of the issuance of our 1.875% Senior Convertible Notes (the "Notes") and related purchased call options and sold warrants. Per FASB's authoritative guidance on the effect of contingently convertible instruments on diluted earnings per share and convertible bonds with an issuer option to settle for cash upon conversion, we will not include any shares related to the Notes in our calculation of diluted earnings per share until our average stock price for a quarter exceeds the current conversion price. We would then include in our diluted earnings per share calculation those shares issuable using the treasury stock method. The amount of shares issuable is based upon the amount by which the average stock price for the quarter exceeds the conversion price. The purchased call option does not impact the calculation of diluted earnings per share as it is always anti-dilutive. The sold warrants become dilutive when our average stock price for a quarter exceeds the strike price of the warrant.

The following table provides examples of how changes in our stock price impact the number of shares that would be included in our diluted earnings per share calculation at June 30, 2012. It also shows the impact on the number of shares issuable upon conversion of the Notes and settlement of the purchased call options and sold warrants:

Share Price	Shares Underlying 1.875% Convertible Notes	Warrant Shares	Total Treasury Method Incremental Shares (a)	Shares Due to the Company under Notes under Hedges	Incremental Shares Issued/ Received by the Company upon Conversion (b)
\$ 80.73	40,072	-	40,072	(42,868)	(2,796)
\$ 90.73	295,315	-	295,315	(315,919)	(20,604)
\$ 100.73	499,879	-	499,879	(534,756)	(34,877)
\$ 110.73	667,495	120,403	787,898	(714,066)	73,832
\$ 120.73	807,344	319,182	1,126,526	(863,672)	262,854
\$ 130.73	925,798	487,550	1,413,348	(990,391)	422,957

- a) Represents the number of incremental shares that must be included in the calculation of fully diluted shares under U.S. GAAP.
b) Represents the number of incremental shares to be issued by the Company upon conversion of the 1.875% Convertible Notes, assuming concurrent settlement of the note hedges and warrants.

5. Long-Term Debt

On March 1, 2011, we replaced our existing credit agreement with our Revolving Credit Facility ("2011 Credit Agreement"). Terms of the 2011 Credit Agreement consist of a five-year, \$350 million revolving credit facility. This 2011 Credit Agreement has a floating interest rate that is currently LIBOR plus 175 basis points. The 2011 Credit Agreement also includes a \$150 million expansion feature. The 2011 Credit Agreement contains the following quarterly financial covenants:

Description	Requirement
Leverage Ratio (Consolidated Indebtedness/Consolidated Adj. EBITDA)	< 3.50 to 1.00
Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio (Consolidated Free Cash Flow/Consolidated Fixed Charges)	> 1.50 to 1.00
Annual Operating Lease Commitment	< \$30.0 million

We are in compliance with all debt covenants as of June 30, 2012. We have issued \$29.4 million in standby letters of credit as of June 30, 2012 for insurance purposes. Issued letters of credit reduce our available credit under the 2011 Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2012, we have approximately \$320.6 million of unused lines of credit available and eligible to be drawn down under our revolving credit facility, excluding the \$150 million expansion feature.

The following amounts are included in our consolidated balance sheet related to the Notes:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Principal amount of convertible debentures	\$ 186,956	\$ 186,956
Unamortized debt discount	(16,187)	(20,172)
Carrying amount of convertible debentures	\$ 170,769	\$ 166,784
Additional paid in capital (net of tax)	\$ 31,310	\$ 31,310

The following amounts comprise interest expense included in our consolidated income statement (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Cash interest expense	\$ 1,350	\$ 1,288	\$ 2,683	\$ 2,440
Non-cash amortization of debt discount	2,009	1,878	3,985	3,724
Amortization of debt costs	313	295	621	541
Total interest expense	\$ 3,672	\$ 3,461	\$ 7,289	\$ 6,705

The unamortized debt discount is being amortized using the effective interest method over the remaining life of the Notes. The effective rate on the Notes is approximately 6.875%.

6. Other Income/(Expense) – Net

Other income/(expense) -- net comprises the following (in thousands):

	<u>Three months ended June 30,</u>		<u>Six months ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Market value gains/(losses) on assets held in deferred compensation trust	\$ (948)	\$ 743	\$ 1,185	\$ 2,807
Gain/(loss) on disposal of property and equipment	(67)	32	(148)	11
Interest income	59	62	110	123
Other - net	(14)	(123)	(22)	(125)
Other income/(expense) - net	<u>\$ (970)</u>	<u>\$ 714</u>	<u>\$ 1,125</u>	<u>\$ 2,816</u>

7. Stock-Based Compensation Plans

On February 17, 2012, the Compensation/Incentive Committee of the Board of Directors ("CIC") approved a grant of 35,969 shares of restricted stock to certain key employees. The restricted shares cliff vest four years from the date of issuance. The cumulative compensation expense related to the restricted stock award is \$2.3 million and will be recognized ratably over the 4 year vesting period. We assumed no forfeitures in determining the cumulative compensation expense of the grant.

On February 17, 2012, the CIC approved a grant of 442,350 stock options to certain employees. The stock options vest ratably over three years from the date of issuance. The cumulative compensation expense related to the stock option grant is \$7.1 million and will be recognized over the 3 year vesting period. We used the Black-Scholes option valuation method to determine the cumulative compensation expense of the grant.

8. Independent Contractor Operations

The Roto-Rooter segment sublicenses with 65 independent contractors to operate certain plumbing repair and drain cleaning businesses in lesser-populated areas of the United States and Canada. We had notes receivable from our independent contractors as of June 30, 2012 totaling \$1.1 million (December 31, 2011 - \$1.1 million). In most cases these loans are fully or partially secured by equipment owned by the contractor. The interest rates on the loans range from 0% to 8% per annum and the remaining terms of the loans range from 2 months to 5 years at June 30, 2012. We recorded the following from our independent contractors (in thousands):

	<u>Three months ended June 30,</u>		<u>Six months ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
Revenues	\$ 6,809	\$ 6,528	\$ 13,491	\$ 13,039
Pretax profits	3,732	3,402	6,813	6,389

9. Pension and Retirement Plans

All of the Company's plans that provide retirement and similar benefits are defined contribution plans. These expenses include the impact of market gains and losses on assets held in deferred compensation plans. Expenses for the Company's pension and profit-sharing plans, excess benefit plans and other similar plans are as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Three months ended June 30,</u>		<u>Six months ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2011</u>
\$	1,162	\$ 2,871	\$ 5,854	\$ 6,954

10. Legal and Regulatory Matters

Litigation

On March 1, 2010 Anthony Morangelli and Frank Ercole filed a class action lawsuit in federal district court for the Eastern District of New York seeking unpaid minimum wages and overtime service technician compensation from Roto-Rooter and Chemed. They also seek payment of penalties, interest and plaintiffs' attorney fees. We contest these allegations. In September 2010, the Court conditionally certified a nationwide class of service technicians, excluding those who signed dispute resolution agreements in which they agreed to arbitrate claims arising out of their employment. We are unable to estimate our potential liability, if any, with respect to this case.

VITAS is party to a class action lawsuit filed in the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, in September 2006 by Bernadette Santos, Keith Knoche and Joyce White. This case alleges failure to pay overtime and failure to provide meal and rest periods to a purported class of California admissions nurses, chaplains and sales representatives. The case seeks payment of penalties, interest and Plaintiffs' attorney fees. We contest these allegations. In December 2009, the trial court denied Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. In July 2011, the Court of Appeals affirmed denial of class certification on the travel time, meal and rest period claims, and reversed the trial court's denial on the off-the-clock and sales representation exemption claims. Plaintiffs have filed an appeal of this decision. We are unable to estimate our potential liability or potential range of loss, if any, with respect to this case.

On January 12, 2012, the Greater Pennsylvania Carpenters Pension Fund filed a putative class action lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio against the Company, Kevin McNamara, David Williams, and Timothy O'Toole. On April 9, 2012, the Court issued orders (a) renaming the suit as In re Chemed Corp. Securities Litigation, Civil Action No. 1:12-cv-28 (S.D. Ohio), (b) appointing the Greater Pennsylvania Carpenters Pension Fund and the Electrical Workers Pension Fund, Local 103, I.B.E.W. as Lead Plaintiffs; and (c) approving Lead Plaintiffs' selection of Labaton Sucharow LLP and Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP as Co-Lead Counsel. On June 18, 2012, Lead Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint alleging violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 against all Defendants, and violation of Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 against Messrs. McNamara, Williams and O'Toole. The suit's allegations concern the VITAS hospice segment of the Company's business. Lead Plaintiffs seek, on behalf of a putative class of purchasers of Chemed Capital Stock between February 15, 2010 and November 16, 2011, compensatory damages in an unspecified amount and attorneys' fees and expenses, arising from Defendants' failure to disclose an alleged fraudulent scheme to enroll ineligible hospice patients and to fraudulently obtain payments from the federal government. Defendants are required to move or otherwise respond to the amended complaint on or before August 17, 2012. Defendants believe the claims are without merit, and intend to defend vigorously against them.

Regardless of outcome, defense of litigation adversely affects us through defense costs, diversion of our time and related publicity.

Regulatory Matters

In June 2012, VITAS received an administrative subpoena from the Office of the Inspector General ("OIG") of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services in connection with an investigation of possible improper claims submitted to the Medicare and Medicaid Programs. It seeks production to the OIG of various categories of documents concerning the provision of hospice services, for headquarters and its Southern California programs, for the period January 1, 2007 through the date of the subpoena. The categories of documents include policy, procedure and training manuals; documents concerning patient eligibility for hospice care, including referrals, admissions, certification, revocations and census information; documents concerning claims submitted to government programs; certain information concerning employees and their compensation; and documents concerning VITAS' financial performance. We are conferring with the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Central District of California regarding the document requests. We cannot predict the timing or outcome of this investigation, or estimate our potential liability, if any.

In May 2009, VITAS received an administrative subpoena from the U.S. Department of Justice requesting VITAS deliver to the OIG documents, patient records, and policy and procedure manuals for headquarters and its Texas programs concerning hospice services provided for the period January 1, 2003 to the date of the letter. In August 2009, the OIG selected medical records for 59 past and current patients from a Texas program for review. In February 2010, VITAS received a companion civil investigative demand ("CID") from the State of Texas Attorney General's Office, seeking related documents. In September 2010, it received a second CID and a second administrative subpoena seeking related documents. In April 2011, the U.S. Attorney provided the Company with a copy of qui tam complaint filed under seal in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas. The Court unsealed this complaint in November 2011. The U.S. Attorney and the Attorney General for the State of Texas filed a notice in November 2011 that they had decided not to intervene at that time in the case. They continue to investigate its allegations. It was brought by Michael Rehfelt, a former VITAS San Antonio program general manager, against VITAS, the program's former Regional Vice President Keith Becker, its former Medical Director Justos Cisneros, and their current employers: Wellmed Medical Management, Care Level Management LLC, and Inspiris Inc. Plaintiff dismissed his case against their current employers in March of 2012. The case alleges admission and recertification of inappropriate patients, backdating revocations, and conspiring to admit inappropriate patients to hospice. In June 2011, the U.S. Attorney provided the Company with a partially unsealed second qui tam complaint filed under seal in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas. In June 2011, the U.S. Attorney also provided the Company with a partially unsealed third qui tam complaint filed under seal in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division. We are conferring with the U.S. Attorney regarding the Company's defenses to each complaint's allegations. We can neither predict the outcome of this investigation nor estimate our potential liability, if any.

In April 2005, the OIG served VITAS with civil subpoenas relating to VITAS' alleged failure to appropriately bill Medicare and Medicaid for hospice services. As part of this investigation, the OIG selected medical records for 320 past and current patients from VITAS' three largest programs for review. It also sought policies and procedures dating back to 1998 covering admissions, certifications, recertifications and discharges. During the third quarter of 2005 and again in May 2006, the OIG requested additional information from us. The Court dismissed a related qui tam complaint filed in U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida with prejudice in July 2007. The plaintiffs appealed this dismissal, which the Court of Appeals affirmed. The government continues to investigate the complaint's allegations. In March 2009, we received a letter from the government reiterating the basis of their investigations. In July 2012, we received an administrative subpoena from the Office of the Attorney General of Florida seeking documents from January 1, 2007 covering areas including billing, marketing, referrals, incentives, patient eligibility for hospice care, claims submitted to government programs, and VITAS' financial performance. We are conferring with the government's counsel regarding the document requests. We are unable to estimate the timing or outcome of this investigation or our potential liability, if any, with respect to this matter.

Regardless of outcome, responding to the subpoenas can adversely affect us through defense costs, diversion of our time and related publicity.

11. Concentration of Risk

VITAS has pharmacy services agreements ("Agreements") with Omnicare, Inc. and its subsidiaries ("OCR") whereby OCR provides specified pharmacy services for VITAS and its hospice patients in geographical areas served by both VITAS and OCR. The Agreements renew automatically for one-year terms. Either party may cancel the Agreements at the end of any term by giving 90 days prior written notice. VITAS made purchases from OCR of \$10.2 million and \$9.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. VITAS made purchases from OCR of \$20.3 million and \$19.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. For the three and six month periods ending June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, purchases from this vendor represent over 90% of all pharmacy services used by VITAS.

12. Cash Overdrafts and Cash Equivalents

Included in accounts payable at June 30, 2012 is cash overdrafts payable of \$11.3 million (December 31, 2011 - \$10.3 million).

From time to time throughout the year, we invest excess cash in money market funds with major commercial banks. We closely monitor the creditworthiness of the institutions with which we invest our overnight funds. We had \$53.4 million in cash equivalents as of June 30, 2012. There was \$32.5 million in cash equivalents as of December 31, 2011. The weighted average rate of return for our cash equivalents was 0.2% for June 30, 2012 and 0.1% for December 31, 2011.

13. Financial Instruments

FASB's authoritative guidance on fair value measurements defines a hierarchy which prioritizes the inputs in fair value measurements. Level 1 measurements are measurements using quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 measurements use significant other observable inputs. Level 3 measurements are measurements using significant unobservable inputs which require a company to develop its own assumptions. In recording the fair value of assets and liabilities, companies must use the most reliable measurement available.

The following shows the carrying value, fair value and the hierarchy for our financial instruments as of June 30, 2012 (in thousands):

	Carrying Value	Fair Value Measure		
		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Mutual fund investments of deferred compensation plans held in trust	\$ 33,215	\$ 33,215	\$ -	\$ -
Long-term debt	170,769	187,984	-	-

For cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the liquidity and short-term nature of these instruments.

14. Capital Stock Transactions

We repurchased the following capital stock for the three and six-months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Shares repurchased	199,900	-	199,900	341,513
Weighted average price per share	\$ 55.72	\$ -	\$ 55.72	\$ 63.79

15. Business Combinations

In the first six months of 2012, we completed three business combinations within our Roto-Rooter segment for \$1.5 million in cash to increase our market penetration in Bend, Oregon; Shreveport, Louisiana; and Boise, Idaho. A substantial portion of this aggregate purchase price was allocated to goodwill. The operating results of these business combinations have been included in our results of operations since the acquisition date and are not material for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 nor for the comparable prior year periods.

16. Guarantor Subsidiaries

Our 1.875% Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed on an unsecured, jointly, and severally liable basis by certain of our 100% owned subsidiaries. The following unaudited, condensed, consolidating financial data presents the composition of the parent company (Chemed), the guarantor subsidiaries and the non-guarantor subsidiaries as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 for the balance sheet, the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011 for the income statement and the six months ended June 30, 2012 and June 30, 2011 for the statement of cash flows (dollars in thousands):

June 30, 2012

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
ASSETS					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 53,280	\$ (803)	\$ 7,489	\$ -	\$ 59,966
Accounts receivable, less allowances	1,082	80,120	609	-	81,811
Intercompany receivables	-	303,347	-	(303,347)	-
Inventories - net	-	7,414	732	-	8,146
Current deferred income taxes	(1,311)	14,329	208	-	13,226
Prepaid income taxes	4,701	(890)	376	-	4,187
Prepaid expenses	980	9,554	203	-	10,737
Total current assets	<u>58,732</u>	<u>413,071</u>	<u>9,617</u>	<u>(303,347)</u>	<u>178,073</u>
Investments of deferred compensation plans	-	-	33,215	-	33,215
Properties and equipment, at cost, less accumulated depreciation	11,203	74,761	2,607	-	88,571
Identifiable intangible assets less accumulated amortization	-	57,635	-	-	57,635
Goodwill	-	457,487	4,478	-	461,965
Other assets	7,010	1,733	2,926	-	11,669
Investments in subsidiaries	833,241	23,043	-	(856,284)	-
Total assets	<u>\$ 910,186</u>	<u>\$ 1,027,730</u>	<u>\$ 52,843</u>	<u>\$ (1,159,631)</u>	<u>\$ 831,128</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY					
Accounts payable	\$ (748)	\$ 51,304	\$ 446	\$ -	\$ 51,002
Intercompany payables	298,601	-	4,746	(303,347)	-
Income taxes	-	-	167	-	167
Accrued insurance	402	36,384	-	-	36,786
Accrued compensation	2,020	37,166	543	-	39,729
Other current liabilities	1,992	12,618	296	-	14,906
Total current liabilities	<u>302,267</u>	<u>137,472</u>	<u>6,198</u>	<u>(303,347)</u>	<u>142,590</u>
Deferred income taxes	(13,314)	49,264	(10,693)	-	25,257
Long-term debt	170,769	-	-	-	170,769
Deferred compensation liabilities	-	30	33,119	-	33,149
Other liabilities	3,019	6,394	2,505	-	11,918
Stockholders' equity	447,445	834,570	21,714	(856,284)	447,445
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 910,186</u>	<u>\$ 1,027,730</u>	<u>\$ 52,843</u>	<u>\$ (1,159,631)</u>	<u>\$ 831,128</u>

December 31, 2011

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
ASSETS					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 32,470	\$ (1,422)	\$ 7,033	\$ -	\$ 38,081
Accounts receivable, less allowances	606	76,816	502	-	77,924
Intercompany receivables	-	273,413	-	(273,413)	-
Inventories - net	-	8,032	636	-	8,668
Current deferred income taxes	(650)	13,059	131	-	12,540
Prepaid income taxes	(114)	1,689	556	-	2,131
Prepaid expenses	503	10,757	149	-	11,409
Total current assets	<u>32,815</u>	<u>382,344</u>	<u>9,007</u>	<u>(273,413)</u>	<u>150,753</u>
Investments of deferred compensation plans	-	-	31,629	-	31,629
Properties and equipment, at cost, less accumulated depreciation	11,641	68,755	2,555	-	82,951
Identifiable intangible assets less accumulated amortization	-	58,262	-	-	58,262
Goodwill	-	456,183	4,450	-	460,633
Other assets	7,616	1,552	2,509	-	11,677
Investments in subsidiaries	793,277	21,148	-	(814,425)	-
Total assets	<u>\$ 845,349</u>	<u>\$ 988,244</u>	<u>\$ 50,150</u>	<u>\$ (1,087,838)</u>	<u>\$ 795,905</u>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY					
Accounts payable	\$ (683)	\$ 48,490	\$ 418	\$ -	\$ 48,225
Intercompany payables	269,042	-	4,371	(273,413)	-
Income taxes	-	-	90	-	90
Accrued insurance	489	36,658	-	-	37,147
Accrued compensation	3,828	36,655	604	-	41,087
Other current liabilities	1,719	15,728	1,404	-	18,851
Total current liabilities	<u>274,395</u>	<u>137,531</u>	<u>6,887</u>	<u>(273,413)</u>	<u>145,400</u>
Deferred income taxes	(12,330)	51,601	(9,808)	-	29,463
Long-term debt	166,784	-	-	-	166,784

Deferred compensation liabilities	-	-	30,693	-	30,693
Other liabilities	2,816	4,630	2,435	-	9,881
Stockholders' equity	413,684	794,482	19,943	(814,425)	413,684
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$ 845,349</u>	<u>\$ 988,244</u>	<u>\$ 50,150</u>	<u>\$ (1,087,838)</u>	<u>\$ 795,905</u>

For the three months ended June 30, 2012

Continuing Operations

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
Service revenues and sales	\$ -	\$ 347,017	\$ 7,153	\$ -	\$ 354,170
Cost of services provided and goods sold	-	253,434	3,934	-	257,368
Selling, general and administrative expenses	5,937	43,356	477	-	49,770
Depreciation	234	5,926	220	-	6,380
Amortization	481	646	-	-	1,127
Total costs and expenses	6,652	303,362	4,631	-	314,645
Income/ (loss) from operations	(6,652)	43,655	2,522	-	39,525
Interest expense	(3,487)	(171)	(14)	-	(3,672)
Other (expense)/income - net	4,340	(4,357)	(953)	-	(970)
Income/ (loss) before income taxes	(5,799)	39,127	1,555	-	34,883
Income tax (provision)/ benefit	1,918	(14,918)	(609)	-	(13,609)
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	25,155	990	-	(26,145)	-
Net income	\$ 21,274	\$ 25,199	\$ 946	\$ (26,145)	\$ 21,274

For the three months ended June 30, 2011

Continuing Operations

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
Service revenues and sales	\$ -	\$ 326,406	\$ 6,954	\$ -	\$ 333,360
Cost of services provided and goods sold	-	235,855	3,742	-	239,597
Selling, general and administrative expenses	5,574	42,441	2,409	-	50,424
Depreciation	237	5,919	202	-	6,358
Amortization	465	674	-	-	1,139
Total costs and expenses	6,276	284,889	6,353	-	297,518
Income/ (loss) from operations	(6,276)	41,517	601	-	35,842
Interest expense	(3,321)	(140)	-	-	(3,461)
Other (expense)/income - net	3,862	(3,888)	740	-	714
Income/ (loss) before income taxes	(5,735)	37,489	1,341	-	33,095
Income tax (provision)/ benefit	1,783	(14,083)	(509)	-	(12,809)
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	24,238	875	-	(25,113)	-
Net income	\$ 20,286	\$ 24,281	\$ 832	\$ (25,113)	\$ 20,286

For the six months ended June 30, 2012

Continuing Operations

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
Service revenues and sales	\$ -	\$ 692,631	\$ 14,482	\$ -	\$ 707,113
Cost of services provided and goods sold	-	506,861	7,952	-	514,813
Selling, general and administrative expenses	11,133	87,703	4,101	-	102,937
Depreciation	467	11,717	437	-	12,621
Amortization	951	1,289	-	-	2,240
Total costs and expenses	12,551	607,570	12,490	-	632,611
Income/ (loss) from operations	(12,551)	85,061	1,992	-	74,502
Interest expense	(6,920)	(340)	(29)	-	(7,289)
Other (expense)/income - net	8,746	(8,798)	1,177	-	1,125
Income/ (loss) before income taxes	(10,725)	75,923	3,140	-	68,338
Income tax (provision)/ benefit	3,499	(28,882)	(1,236)	-	(26,619)
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	48,945	1,972	-	(50,917)	-
Net income	\$ 41,719	\$ 49,013	\$ 1,904	\$ (50,917)	\$ 41,719

For the six months ended June 30, 2011

Continuing Operations

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidating Adjustments	Consolidated
Service revenues and sales	\$ -	\$ 650,563	\$ 13,715	\$ -	\$ 664,278
Cost of services provided and goods sold	-	469,731	7,324	-	477,055
Selling, general and administrative expenses	12,258	88,022	5,798	-	106,078
Depreciation	476	11,781	389	-	12,646
Amortization	820	1,289	-	-	2,109
Total costs and expenses	13,554	570,823	13,511	-	597,888
Income/ (loss) from operations	(13,554)	79,740	204	-	66,390
Interest expense	(6,453)	(252)	-	-	(6,705)
Other (expense)/income - net	7,632	(7,617)	2,801	-	2,816
Income/ (loss) before income taxes	(12,375)	71,871	3,005	-	62,501
Income tax (provision)/ benefit	4,186	(27,135)	(1,165)	-	(24,114)

Equity in net income of subsidiaries	46,576	1,908	-	(48,484)	-
Net income	<u>\$ 38,387</u>	<u>\$ 46,644</u>	<u>\$ 1,840</u>	<u>\$ (48,484)</u>	<u>\$ 38,387</u>

For the six months ended June 30, 2012

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidated
Cash Flow from Operating Activities:				
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ (3,716)	\$ 57,667	\$ 704	\$ 54,655
Cash Flow from Investing Activities:				
Capital expenditures	(28)	(17,966)	(480)	(18,474)
Business combinations, net of cash acquired	-	(1,500)	-	(1,500)
Other sources/(uses) - net	200	167	(10)	357
Net cash used by investing activities	172	(19,299)	(490)	(19,617)
Cash Flow from Financing Activities:				
Change in cash overdrafts payable	(46)	1,031	-	985
Change in intercompany accounts	38,573	(38,780)	207	-
Dividends paid to shareholders	(6,160)	-	-	(6,160)
Purchases of treasury stock	(12,783)	-	(58)	(12,841)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	3,670	-	-	3,670
Realized excess tax benefit on share based compensation	1,069	-	-	1,069
Other sources - net	31	-	93	124
Net cash used by financing activities	24,354	(37,749)	242	(13,153)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	20,810	619	456	21,885
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	32,470	(1,422)	7,033	38,081
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 53,280	\$ (803)	\$ 7,489	\$ 59,966

For the six months ended June 30, 2011

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Consolidated
Cash Flow from Operating Activities:				
Net cash provided/(used) by operating activities	\$ 3,594	\$ 48,849	\$ (1,316)	\$ 51,127
Cash Flow from Investing Activities:				
Capital expenditures	(5)	(14,085)	(870)	(14,960)
Business combinations, net of cash acquired	-	(3,689)	-	(3,689)
Other sources/(uses) - net	(103)	(771)	5	(869)
Net cash used by investing activities	(108)	(18,545)	(865)	(19,518)
Cash Flow from Financing Activities:				
Purchases of treasury stock	(25,438)	-	(44)	(25,482)
Change in cash overdrafts payable	698	(8,512)	-	(7,814)
Change in intercompany accounts	26,733	(28,804)	2,071	-
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	7,698	-	-	7,698
Dividends paid to shareholders	(5,967)	-	-	(5,967)
Debt issuance costs	(2,723)	-	-	(2,723)
Realized excess tax benefit on share based compensation	3,339	-	-	3,339
Other sources - net	41	1	322	364
Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities	4,381	(37,315)	2,349	(30,585)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	7,867	(7,011)	168	1,024
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	45,324	(1,571)	6,164	49,917
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 53,191	\$ (8,582)	\$ 6,332	\$ 50,941

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Executive Summary

We operate through our two wholly-owned subsidiaries, VITAS Healthcare Corporation and Roto-Rooter Group, Inc. VITAS focuses on hospice care that helps make terminally ill patients' final days as comfortable as possible. Through its teams of doctors, nurses, home health aides, social workers, clergy and volunteers, VITAS provides direct medical services to patients, as well as spiritual and emotional counseling to both patients and their families. Roto-Rooter's services are focused on providing plumbing and drain cleaning services to both residential and commercial customers. Through its network of company-owned branches, independent contractors and franchisees, Roto-Rooter offers plumbing and drain cleaning service to over 90% of the U.S. population.

The following is a summary of the key operating results (in thousands except per share amounts):

	Three months ended June 30,		Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Service revenues and sales	\$ 354,170	\$ 333,360	\$ 707,113	\$ 664,278
Net income	\$ 21,274	\$ 20,286	\$ 41,719	\$ 38,387
Diluted EPS	\$ 1.10	\$ 0.94	\$ 2.16	\$ 1.78
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 48,173	\$ 46,657	\$ 94,513	\$ 92,275
Adjusted EBITDA as a % of revenue	13.6 %	14.0 %	13.4 %	13.9 %

Earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") and Adjusted EBITDA are not measures derived in accordance with GAAP. We use Adjusted EBITDA as a measure of earnings for our long-term incentive plan awards. We provide EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA to help readers evaluate our operating results, compare our operating performance with that of similar companies that have different capital structures and help evaluate our ability to meet future debt service, capital expenditure and working capital requirements. Our EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for comparable measures presented in accordance with GAAP. A reconciliation of our net income to our EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA is presented on pages 28 and 29.

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, the increase in consolidated service revenues and sales was driven by a 9.1% increase at VITAS partially offset by a 1.4% decrease at Roto-Rooter. The increase in service revenues at VITAS was a result of increased average daily census ("ADC") of 6.0%, driven by an increase in admissions of 4.0%, and Medicare price increases of approximately 2.5%. The decrease in service revenues at Roto-Rooter was driven by a 3.7% decrease in job count partially offset by a 2.2% price and mix shift increase. Consolidated net income increased 4.9%. Diluted EPS increased 17.0% as a result of the increase in net income and a lower number of shares outstanding. Adjusted EBITDA as a percent of revenue decreased 0.4% as a result of the decrease in service revenues at Roto-Rooter. See page 30 for additional VITAS operating metrics.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the increase in consolidated service revenues and sales was driven by a 9.9% increase at VITAS partially offset by a 2.4% decrease at Roto-Rooter. The increase in service revenues at VITAS was a result of increased average daily census ("ADC") of 6.0%, driven by an increase in admissions of 3.7% and Medicare price increases of approximately 2.5%. The decrease in service revenues at Roto-Rooter was driven by a 4.9% decrease in job count partially offset by a 2.3% price and mix shift increase. Consolidated net income increased 8.7%. Diluted EPS increased 21.3% as a result of the increase in net income and a lower number of shares outstanding. Adjusted EBITDA as a percent of revenue decreased 0.5% as a result of the decrease in service revenues at Roto-Rooter. See page 30 for additional VITAS operating metrics.

VITAS expects to achieve full-year 2012 revenue growth, prior to Medicare cap, of 7.5% to 9.0%. Admissions are estimated to increase approximately 3.5% to 4.0%. Adjusted EBITDA margin prior to Medicare cap is estimated to be 14.5% to 15.0%. Roto-Rooter expects full-year 2012 revenue growth equal to the prior year. The revenue estimate is a result of increased pricing of approximately 2.0%, a favorable mix shift to higher revenue jobs, with job count estimated to range between down 2.0% to 4.0%. Adjusted EBITDA margin for 2012 is estimated to be in the range of 16.0% to 17.0%. We anticipate that our operating income and cash flows will be sufficient to operate our businesses and meet any commitments for the foreseeable future.

Financial Condition

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Material changes in the balance sheet accounts from December 31, 2011 to June 30, 2012 include the following:

- A \$3.9 million increase in accounts receivable related to the timing of receipts.
- A \$5.6 million increase in properties and equipment due to the opening of the Florida Home Medical Equipment location and a data center relocation.
- A \$2.8 million increase in accounts payable related to timing of payments.
- A \$3.9 million decrease in other current liabilities primarily due to a \$2.6 million decrease in accrued Medicare cap.
- A \$1.4 million decrease in accrued compensation related to the timing of payments of incentive compensation.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased by \$3.5 million due primarily to the change in the increase in net income. Management continually evaluates cash utilization alternatives, including share repurchase, debt repurchase, acquisitions and increased dividends to determine the most beneficial use of available capital resources.

We have issued \$29.4 million in standby letters of credit as of June 30, 2012, for insurance purposes. Issued letters of credit reduce our available credit under the revolving credit agreement. As of June 30, 2012, we have approximately \$320.6 million of unused lines of credit available and eligible to be drawn down under our revolving credit facility, excluding the \$150 million expansion feature. Management believes its liquidity and sources of capital are satisfactory for the Company's needs in the foreseeable future.

Commitments and Contingencies

Collectively, the terms of our credit agreements require us to meet various financial covenants, to be tested quarterly. We are in compliance with all financial and other debt covenants as of June 30, 2012 and anticipate remaining in compliance throughout 2012.

On March 1, 2010 Anthony Morangelli and Frank Ercole filed a class action lawsuit in federal district court for the Eastern District of New York seeking unpaid minimum wages and overtime service technician compensation from Roto-Rooter and Chemed. They also seek payment of penalties, interest and plaintiffs' attorney fees. We contest these allegations. In September 2010, the Court conditionally certified a nationwide class of service technicians, excluding those who signed dispute resolution agreements in which they agreed to arbitrate claims arising out of their employment. We are unable to estimate our potential liability, if any, with respect to this case.

VITAS is party to a class action lawsuit filed in the Superior Court of California, Los Angeles County, in September 2006 by Bernadette Santos, Keith Knoche and Joyce White. This case alleges failure to pay overtime and failure to provide meal and rest periods to a purported class of California admissions nurses, chaplains and sales representatives. The case seeks payment of penalties, interest and Plaintiffs' attorney fees. We contest these allegations. In December 2009, the trial court denied Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. In July 2011, the Court of Appeals affirmed denial of class certification on the travel time, meal and rest period claims, and reversed the trial court's denial on the off-the-clock and sales representation exemption claims. Plaintiffs have filed an appeal of this decision. We are unable to estimate our potential liability or potential range of loss, if any, with respect to this case.

On January 12, 2012, the Greater Pennsylvania Carpenters Pension Fund filed a putative class action lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio against the Company, Kevin McNamara, David Williams, and Timothy O'Toole. On April 9, 2012, the Court issued orders (a) renaming the suit as In re Chemed Corp. Securities Litigation, Civil Action No. 1:12-cv-28 (S.D. Ohio), (b) appointing the Greater Pennsylvania Carpenters Pension Fund and the Electrical Workers Pension Fund, Local 103, I.B.E.W. as Lead Plaintiffs; and (c) approving Lead Plaintiffs' selection of Labaton Sucharow LLP and Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP as Co-Lead Counsel. On June 18, 2012, Lead Plaintiffs filed an amended complaint alleging violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 against all Defendants, and violation of Section 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 against Messrs. McNamara, Williams and O'Toole. The suit's allegations concern the VITAS hospice segment of the Company's business. Lead Plaintiffs seek, on behalf of a putative class of purchasers of Chemed Capital Stock between February 15, 2010 and November 16, 2011, compensatory damages in an unspecified amount and attorneys' fees and expenses, arising from Defendants' failure to disclose an alleged fraudulent scheme to enroll ineligible hospice patients and to fraudulently obtain payments from the federal government. Defendants are required to move or otherwise respond to the amended complaint on or before August 17, 2012. Defendants believe the claims are without merit, and intend to defend vigorously against them.

Regardless of outcome, defense of litigation adversely affects us through defense costs, diversion of our time and related publicity.

In June 2012, VITAS received an administrative subpoena from the Office of the Inspector General (“OIG”) of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services in connection with an investigation of possible improper claims submitted to the Medicare and Medicaid Programs. It seeks production to the OIG of various categories of documents concerning the provision of hospice services, for headquarters and its Southern California programs, for the period January 1, 2007 through the date of the subpoena. The categories of documents include policy, procedure and training manuals; documents concerning patient eligibility for hospice care, including referrals, admissions, certification, revocations and census information; documents concerning claims submitted to government programs; certain information concerning employees and their compensation; and documents concerning VITAS’ financial performance. We are conferring with the U.S. Attorney’s Office for the Central District of California regarding the document requests. We cannot predict the timing or outcome of this investigation, or estimate our potential liability, if any.

In May 2009, VITAS received an administrative subpoena from the U.S. Department of Justice requesting VITAS deliver to the OIG documents, patient records, and policy and procedure manuals for headquarters and its Texas programs concerning hospice services provided for the period January 1, 2003 to the date of the letter. In August 2009, the OIG selected medical records for 59 past and current patients from a Texas program for review. In February 2010, VITAS received a companion civil investigative demand (“CID”) from the State of Texas Attorney General’s Office, seeking related documents. In September 2010, it received a second CID and a second administrative subpoena seeking related documents. In April 2011, the U.S. Attorney provided the Company with a copy of qui tam complaint filed under seal in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas. The Court unsealed this complaint in November 2011. The U.S. Attorney and the Attorney General for the State of Texas filed a notice in November 2011 that they had decided not to intervene at that time in the case. They continue to investigate its allegations. It was brought by Michael Rehfeld, a former VITAS San Antonio program general manager, against VITAS, the program’s former Regional Vice President Keith Becker, its former Medical Director Justos Cisneros, and their current employers: Wellmed Medical Management, Care Level Management LLC, and Inspiris Inc. Plaintiff dismissed his case against their current employers in March of 2012. The case alleges admission and recertification of inappropriate patients, backdating revocations, and conspiring to admit inappropriate patients to hospice. In June 2011, the U.S. Attorney provided the Company with a partially unsealed second qui tam complaint filed under seal in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas. In June 2011, the U.S. Attorney also provided the Company with a partially unsealed third qui tam complaint filed under seal in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division. We are conferring with the U.S. Attorney regarding the Company’s defenses to each complaint’s allegations. We can neither predict the outcome of this investigation nor estimate our potential liability, if any.

In April 2005, the Office of Inspector General (“OIG”) for the Department of Health and Human Services served VITAS with civil subpoenas relating to VITAS’ alleged failure to appropriately bill Medicare and Medicaid for hospice services. As part of this investigation, the OIG selected medical records for 320 past and current patients from VITAS’ three largest programs for review. It also sought policies and procedures dating back to 1998 covering admissions, certifications, recertifications and discharges. During the third quarter of 2005 and again in May 2006, the OIG requested additional information from us. The Court dismissed a related qui tam complaint filed in U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida with prejudice in July 2007. The plaintiffs appealed this dismissal, which the Court of Appeals affirmed. The government continues to investigate the complaint’s allegations. In March 2009, we received a letter from the government reiterating the basis of their investigations. In July 2012, we received an administrative subpoena from the Office of the Attorney General of Florida seeking documents from January 1, 2007 covering areas including billing, marketing, referrals, incentives, patient eligibility for hospice care, claims submitted to government programs, and VITAS’s financial performance. We are conferring with the government’s counsel regarding the document requests. We are unable to estimate the timing or outcome of this investigation or our potential liability, if any, with respect to this matter.

Regardless of outcome, responding to the subpoenas can adversely affect us through defense costs, diversion of our time and related publicity.

Results of Operations

Three months ended June 30, 2012 versus 2011 - Consolidated Results

Our service revenues and sales for the second quarter of 2012 increased 6.2% versus services and sales revenues for the second quarter of 2011. Of this increase, \$22.1 million was attributable to VITAS partially offset by a \$1.3 million decrease at Roto-Rooter. The following chart shows the components of those changes (in thousands):

	Increase/(Decrease)	
	Amount	Percent
VITAS		
Routine homecare	\$ 16,083	9.1
Continuous care	3,603	9.2
General inpatient	2,064	7.6
Medicare cap	368	100.0
Roto-Rooter		
Plumbing	(575)	(1.3)
Drain cleaning	(562)	(1.6)
Contractor Operations	281	4.3
Other	(452)	(6.8)
Total	<u>\$ 20,810</u>	6.2

The increase in VITAS' revenues for the second quarter of 2012 versus the second quarter of 2011 was a result of increased ADC of 6.0% driven by an increase in admissions of 4.0% and Medicare reimbursement rate increases of approximately 2.5%. The ADC increase was driven by a 6.1% increase in routine homecare, an increase of 4.3% in general inpatient and an increase of a 6.2% in continuous care. In excess of 90% of VITAS' service revenues for the period were from Medicare and Medicaid.

The decrease in plumbing revenues for the second quarter of 2012 versus 2011 is attributable to a 1.8% decrease in the average price per job partially offset by a 0.3% increase in job count. Our excavation job count increased by 8.0% compared to 2011. Drain cleaning revenues for the second quarter of 2012 versus 2011 reflect a 5.6% decrease in the number of jobs performed partially offset by a 4.3% increase in the price per job. Contractor operations revenue increased 4.3% for the second quarter of 2012.

The consolidated gross margin was 27.3% in the second quarter of 2012 as compared with 28.1% in the second quarter of 2011. On a segment basis, VITAS' gross margin was 21.6% in the second quarter of 2012 and 21.9% in the second quarter of 2011. The decrease in VITAS' gross margin is attributable to higher labor costs for admissions and Medicare compliance personnel and the opening of new operations, both new locations and new inpatient units, which carry significant start-up costs as capacity begins to ramp-up. The Roto-Rooter segment's gross margin was 44.3% for the second quarter of 2012 as compared with 45.0% for the second quarter of 2011. The decrease in Roto-Rooter's gross margin is primarily the result of increased medical costs combined with lower revenue.

Selling, general and administrative expenses ("SG&A") comprise (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
SG&A expenses before the impact of market gains of deferred compensation plans	\$ 50,718	\$ 49,681
Impact of market value gains/(losses) on liabilities held in deferred compensation trusts	(948)	743
Total SG&A expenses	<u>\$ 49,770</u>	<u>\$ 50,424</u>

Normal salary increases and revenue related expense increases between periods accounts for the 2.1% increase in SG&A expenses before long-term incentive compensation and the impact of market gains of deferred compensation plans.

Interest expense increased 6.1% between periods primarily as a result of the increase in amortization of bond discount expense.

Other income/(expense) comprise (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Market value gains/(losses) on assets held in deferred compensation trusts	\$ (948)	\$ 743
Gain/(loss) on disposal of property and equipment	(67)	32
Interest Income	59	62
Other	(14)	(123)
Total other income/(expense)	<u>\$ (970)</u>	<u>\$ 714</u>

Our effective income tax rate increased to 39.0% in the second quarter of 2012 from 38.7% when compared with the second quarter of 2011.

Net income for both periods included the following after-tax items/adjustments that reduced after-tax earnings (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
VITAS		
Legal expenses of OIG investigation	\$ (121)	\$ (301)
Acquisition expenses	-	(31)
Roto-Rooter		
Expenses of class action litigation	(49)	(113)
Acquisition expenses	(12)	8
Corporate		
Stock option expense	(1,502)	(1,620)
Expenses of securities litigation	(124)	-
Noncash impact of change in accounting for convertible debt	(1,248)	(1,155)
Total	<u>\$ (3,056)</u>	<u>\$ (3,212)</u>

Three months ended June 30, 2012 versus 2011 - Segment Results

The change in after-tax earnings for the second quarter of 2012 versus the second quarter of 2011 is due to (in thousands):

	Increase/(Decrease)	
	Amount	Percent
VITAS	\$ 1,844	9.9
Roto-Rooter	(1,018)	(11.2)
Corporate	162	2.2
	<u>\$ 988</u>	4.9

Results of Operations

Six months ended June 30, 2012 versus 2011 - Consolidated Results

Our service revenues and sales for the first six months of 2012 increased 6.4% versus services and sales revenues for the first six months of 2011. Of this increase, \$47.3 million was attributable to VITAS partially offset by a \$4.5 million decrease at Roto-Rooter. The following chart shows the components of those changes (in thousands):

	Increase/(Decrease)	
	Amount	Percent
VITAS		
Routine homecare	\$ 34,028	9.8
Continuous care	7,499	9.6
General inpatient	3,830	7.0
Medicare cap	1,935	301.4
Roto-Rooter		
Plumbing	(1,938)	(2.2)
Drain cleaning	(2,142)	(3.0)
Contractor Operations	452	3.5
Other	(829)	(6.1)
Total	<u>\$ 42,835</u>	<u>6.4</u>

The increase in VITAS' revenues for the first six months of 2012 versus the first six months of 2011 was a result of increased ADC of 6.0% driven by an increase in admissions of 3.7% and Medicare reimbursement rate increases of approximately 2.5%. The ADC increase was driven by a 6.1% increase in routine homecare, an increase of 4.5% in general inpatient and an increase of a 5.5% in continuous care. Medicare cap increased 301.4% as a result of the reversal of amounts recorded in the fourth quarter of 2011 due to improving admissions trends. In excess of 90% of VITAS' service revenues for the period were from Medicare and Medicaid.

The decrease in plumbing revenues for the first six months of 2012 versus 2011 is attributable to a 0.9% decrease in the number of jobs performed as well as a 1.5% decrease in the average price per job. Our excavation job count increased by 7.1% compared to 2011. Drain cleaning revenues for the first six months of 2012 versus 2011 reflect a 6.9% decrease in the number of jobs performed partially offset by a 4.2% increase in the price per job. Contractor operations revenue increased 3.5% for the first six months of 2012.

The consolidated gross margin was 27.2% for the first six months of 2012 as compared with 28.2% for the first six months of 2011. On a segment basis, VITAS' gross margin was 21.4% for the first six months of 2012 and 21.8% for the first six months of 2011. The decrease in VITAS' gross margin is attributable to higher labor costs for admissions and Medicare compliance personnel and the opening of new operations, both new locations and new inpatient units, which carry significant start-up costs as capacity begins to ramp-up. The Roto-Rooter segment's gross margin was 44.0% for the first six months of 2012 as compared with 44.6% for the first six months of 2011. The decrease in Roto-Rooter's gross margin is primarily the result of increased medical costs combined with lower revenue.

Selling, general and administrative expenses ("SG&A") comprise (in thousands):

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
SG&A expenses before long-term incentive compensation and the impact of market gains and losses of deferred compensation plans	\$ 101,752	\$ 100,259
Long-term incentive compensation	-	3,012
Impact of market value gains on liabilities held in deferred compensation trusts	1,185	2,807
Total SG&A expenses	<u>\$ 102,937</u>	<u>\$ 106,078</u>

Normal salary increases and revenue related expense increases between periods accounts for the 1.5% increase in SG&A expenses before long-term incentive compensation and the impact of market gains of deferred compensation plans.

Interest expense increased 8.7% between periods as a result of the debt refinancing that took place in the first quarter of 2011.

Other income/(expense) comprise (in thousands):

	Six months ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Market value gains on assets held in deferred compensation trusts	\$ 1,185	\$ 2,807
Gain/(loss) on disposal of property and equipment	(148)	11
Interest Income	110	123
Other	(22)	(125)
Total other income	<u>\$ 1,125</u>	<u>\$ 2,816</u>

Our effective income tax rate increased to 39.0% for the first six months of 2012 from 38.6% when compared with the first six months of 2011.

Net income for both periods included the following after-tax items/adjustments that reduced after-tax earnings (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
VITAS		
Legal expenses of OIG investigation	\$ (165)	\$ (618)
Acquisition expenses	-	(71)
Roto-Rooter		
Expenses of class action litigation	(442)	(414)
Acquisition expenses	(21)	4
Corporate		
Stock option expense	(2,727)	(2,843)
Expenses of securities litigation	(124)	-
Noncash impact of change in accounting for convertible debt	(2,472)	(2,287)
Long-term incentive compensation	-	(1,880)
Total	<u>\$ (5,951)</u>	<u>\$ (8,109)</u>

Six months ended June 30, 2012 versus 2011 - Segment Results

The change in after-tax earnings for the first six months of 2012 versus the first months six of 2011 is due to (in thousands):

	Increase/(Decrease)	
	Amount	Percent
VITAS	\$ 3,346	9.1
Roto-Rooter	(2,033)	(11.5)
Corporate	2,019	12.7
	<u>\$ 3,332</u>	8.7

CHEMED CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF INCOME
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012
(in thousands)(unaudited)

2012 (a)	VITAS	Roto-Rooter	Corporate	Chemed Consolidated
Service revenues and sales	\$ 265,213	\$ 88,957	\$ -	\$ 354,170
Cost of services provided and goods sold	207,839	49,529	-	257,368
Selling, general and administrative expenses	20,471	24,372	4,927	49,770
Depreciation	4,164	2,085	131	6,380
Amortization	488	157	482	1,127
Total costs and expenses	232,962	76,143	5,540	314,645
Income/(loss) from operations	32,251	12,814	(5,540)	39,525
Interest expense	(63)	(107)	(3,502)	(3,672)
Intercompany interest income/(expense)	812	430	(1,242)	-
Other income/(expense)—net	(1)	(33)	(936)	(970)
Income/(expense) before income taxes	32,999	13,104	(11,220)	34,883
Income taxes	(12,566)	(5,030)	3,987	(13,609)
Net income/(loss)	\$ 20,433	\$ 8,074	\$ (7,233)	\$ 21,274

(a) The following amounts are included in net income (in thousands):

	VITAS	Roto-Rooter	Corporate	Chemed Consolidated
Pretax benefit/(cost):				
Stock option expense	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,374)	\$ (2,374)
Noncash impact of accounting for convertible debt	-	-	(1,973)	(1,973)
Expenses of class action litigation	-	(80)	-	(80)
Expenses of securities litigation	-	-	(197)	(197)
Acquisition expenses	-	(20)	-	(20)
Legal expenses of OIG investigation	(195)	-	-	(195)
Total	\$ (195)	\$ (100)	\$ (4,544)	\$ (4,839)

	VITAS	Roto-Rooter	Corporate	Chemed Consolidated
After-tax benefit/(cost):				
Stock option expense	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (1,502)	\$ (1,502)
Noncash impact of accounting for convertible debt	-	-	(1,248)	(1,248)
Expenses of class action litigation	-	(49)	-	(49)
Expenses of securities litigation	-	-	(124)	(124)
Acquisition expenses	-	(12)	-	(12)
Legal expenses of OIG investigation	(121)	-	-	(121)
Total	\$ (121)	\$ (61)	\$ (2,874)	\$ (3,056)

CHEMED CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF INCOME
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011
(in thousands)(unaudited)

2011 (a)	VITAS	Roto-Rooter	Corporate	Chemed Consolidated
Service revenues and sales	\$ 243,095	\$ 90,265	\$ -	\$ 333,360
Cost of services provided and goods sold	189,940	49,657	-	239,597
Selling, general and administrative expenses	19,735	24,384	6,305	50,424
Depreciation	4,199	2,025	134	6,358
Amortization	520	155	464	1,139
Total costs and expenses	214,394	76,221	6,903	297,518
Income/(loss) from operations	28,701	14,044	(6,903)	35,842
Interest expense	(62)	(77)	(3,322)	(3,461)
Intercompany interest income/(expense)	1,215	652	(1,867)	-
Other income/(expense)—net	(90)	15	789	714
Income/(expense) before income taxes	29,764	14,634	(11,303)	33,095
Income taxes	(11,175)	(5,542)	3,908	(12,809)
Net income/(loss)	\$ 18,589	\$ 9,092	\$ (7,395)	\$ 20,286

(a) The following amounts are included in net income (in thousands):

	VITAS	Roto-Rooter	Corporate	Chemed Consolidated
Pretax benefit/(cost):				
Stock option expense	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,562)	\$ (2,562)
Noncash impact of accounting for convertible debt	-	-	(1,825)	(1,825)
Expenses of class action litigation	-	(186)	-	(186)
Acquisition expenses	(51)	12	-	(39)
Legal expenses of OIG investigation	(486)	-	-	(486)
Total	\$ (537)	\$ (174)	\$ (4,387)	\$ (5,098)

	VITAS	Roto-Rooter	Corporate	Chemed Consolidated
After-tax benefit/(cost):				
Stock option expense	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (1,620)	\$ (1,620)
Noncash impact of accounting for convertible debt	-	-	(1,155)	(1,155)
Expenses of class action litigation	-	(113)	-	(113)
Acquisition expenses	(31)	8	-	(23)
Legal expenses of OIG investigation	(301)	-	-	(301)
Total	\$ (332)	\$ (105)	\$ (2,775)	\$ (3,212)

CHEMED CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF INCOME
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2012
(in thousands)(unaudited)

	<u>VITAS</u>	<u>Roto-Rooter</u>	<u>Corporate</u>	<u>Chemed Consolidated</u>
2012 (a)				
Service revenues and sales	\$ 526,060	\$ 181,053	\$ -	\$ 707,113
Cost of services provided and goods sold	413,459	101,354	-	514,813
Selling, general and administrative expenses	40,219	50,525	12,193	102,937
Depreciation	8,188	4,171	262	12,621
Amortization	978	311	951	2,240
Total costs and expenses	<u>462,844</u>	<u>156,361</u>	<u>13,406</u>	<u>632,611</u>
Income/(loss) from operations	63,216	24,692	(13,406)	74,502
Interest expense	(126)	(214)	(6,949)	(7,289)
Intercompany interest income/(expense)	1,566	825	(2,391)	-
Other income/(expense)—net	(32)	(54)	1,211	1,125
Income/(expense) before income taxes	64,624	25,249	(21,535)	68,338
Income taxes	(24,564)	(9,680)	7,625	(26,619)
Net income/(loss)	<u>\$ 40,060</u>	<u>\$ 15,569</u>	<u>\$ (13,910)</u>	<u>\$ 41,719</u>

(a) The following amounts are included in net income (in thousands):

	<u>VITAS</u>	<u>Roto-Rooter</u>	<u>Corporate</u>	<u>Chemed Consolidated</u>
Pretax benefit/(cost):				
Stock option expense	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (4,312)	\$ (4,312)
Noncash impact of accounting for convertible debt	-	-	(3,908)	(3,908)
Expenses of class action litigation	-	(727)	-	(727)
Expenses of securities litigation	-	-	(197)	(197)
Acquisition expenses	-	(35)	-	(35)
Legal expenses of OIG investigation	(266)	-	-	(266)
Total	<u>\$ (266)</u>	<u>\$ (762)</u>	<u>\$ (8,417)</u>	<u>\$ (9,445)</u>

	<u>VITAS</u>	<u>Roto-Rooter</u>	<u>Corporate</u>	<u>Chemed Consolidated</u>
After-tax benefit/(cost):				
Stock option expense	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,727)	\$ (2,727)
Noncash impact of accounting for convertible debt	-	-	(2,472)	(2,472)
Expenses of class action litigation	-	(442)	-	(442)
Expenses of securities litigation	-	-	(124)	(124)
Acquisition expenses	-	(21)	-	(21)
Legal expenses of OIG investigation	(165)	-	-	(165)
Total	<u>\$ (165)</u>	<u>\$ (463)</u>	<u>\$ (5,323)</u>	<u>\$ (5,951)</u>

CHEMED CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF INCOME
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011
(in thousands)(unaudited)

2011 (a)	VITAS	Roto-Rooter	Corporate	Chemed Consolidated
Service revenues and sales	\$ 478,768	\$ 185,510	\$ -	\$ 664,278
Cost of services provided and goods sold	374,241	102,814	-	477,055
Selling, general and administrative expenses	38,446	51,124	16,508	106,078
Depreciation	8,366	4,009	271	12,646
Amortization	1,003	287	819	2,109
Total costs and expenses	422,056	158,234	17,598	597,888
Income/(loss) from operations	56,712	27,276	(17,598)	66,390
Interest expense	(110)	(142)	(6,453)	(6,705)
Intercompany interest income/(expense)	2,428	1,291	(3,719)	-
Other income/(expense)—net	(59)	5	2,870	2,816
Income/(expense) before income taxes	58,971	28,430	(24,900)	62,501
Income taxes	(22,257)	(10,828)	8,971	(24,114)
Net income/(loss)	\$ 36,714	\$ 17,602	\$ (15,929)	\$ 38,387

(a) The following amounts are included in net income (in thousands):

	VITAS	Roto-Rooter	Corporate	Chemed Consolidated
Pretax benefit/(cost):				
Long-term incentive compensation	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (3,012)	\$ (3,012)
Stock option expense	-	-	(4,495)	(4,495)
Noncash impact of accounting for convertible debt	-	-	(3,615)	(3,615)
Expenses of class action litigation	-	(681)	-	(681)
Acquisition expenses	(115)	6	-	(109)
Legal expenses of OIG investigation	(997)	-	-	(997)
Total	\$ (1,112)	\$ (675)	\$ (11,122)	\$ (12,909)

	VITAS	Roto-Rooter	Corporate	Chemed Consolidated
After-tax benefit/(cost):	\$	\$	\$	\$
Long-term incentive compensation	-	-	(2,287)	(2,287)
Stock option expense	-	-	(2,843)	(2,843)
Noncash impact of accounting for convertible debt	-	-	(1,880)	(1,880)
Expenses of class action litigation	-	(414)	-	(414)
Acquisition expenses	(71)	4	-	(67)
Legal expenses of OIG investigation	(618)	-	-	(618)
Total	\$ (689)	\$ (410)	\$ (7,010)	\$ (8,109)

Consolidating Summary and Reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA

Chemed Corporation and Subsidiary Companies

(in thousands)

	VITAS	Roto-Rooter	Corporate	Chemed Consolidated
For the three months ended June 30, 2012				
Net income/(loss)	\$ 20,433	\$ 8,074	\$ (7,233)	\$ 21,274
Add/(deduct):				
Interest expense	63	107	3,502	3,672
Income taxes	12,566	5,030	(3,987)	13,609
Depreciation	4,164	2,085	131	6,380
Amortization	488	157	482	1,127
EBITDA	<u>37,714</u>	<u>15,453</u>	<u>(7,105)</u>	<u>46,062</u>
Add/(deduct):				
Legal expenses of OIG investigation	195	-	-	195
Acquisition expenses	-	20	-	20
Expenses of class action litigation	-	80	-	80
Expenses of securities litigation	-	-	197	197
Stock option expense	-	-	2,374	2,374
Advertising cost adjustment	-	(696)	-	(696)
Interest income	(42)	(2)	(15)	(59)
Intercompany interest income/(expense)	(812)	(430)	1,242	-
Adjusted EBITDA	<u>\$ 37,055</u>	<u>\$ 14,425</u>	<u>\$ (3,307)</u>	<u>\$ 48,173</u>

	VITAS	Roto-Rooter	Corporate	Chemed Consolidated
For the three months ended June 30, 2011				
Net income/(loss)	\$ 18,589	\$ 9,092	\$ (7,395)	\$ 20,286
Add/(deduct):				
Interest expense	62	77	3,322	3,461
Income taxes	11,175	5,542	(3,908)	12,809
Depreciation	4,199	2,025	134	6,358
Amortization	520	155	464	1,139
EBITDA	<u>34,545</u>	<u>16,891</u>	<u>(7,383)</u>	<u>44,053</u>
Add/(deduct):				
Legal expenses of OIG investigation	486	-	-	486
Acquisition expenses	51	(12)	-	39
Expenses of class action litigation	-	186	-	186
Stock option expense	-	-	2,562	2,562
Advertising cost adjustment	-	(607)	-	(607)
Interest income	(7)	(9)	(46)	(62)
Intercompany interest income/(expense)	(1,215)	(652)	1,867	-
Adjusted EBITDA	<u>\$ 33,860</u>	<u>\$ 15,797</u>	<u>\$ (3,000)</u>	<u>\$ 46,657</u>

Consolidating Summary and Reconciliation of Adjusted EBITDA

Chemed Corporation and Subsidiary Companies

(in thousands)

For the six months ended June 30, 2012	VITAS	Roto-Rooter	Corporate	Chemed Consolidated
Net income/(loss)	\$ 40,060	\$ 15,569	\$ (13,910)	\$ 41,719
Add/(deduct):				
Interest expense	126	214	6,949	7,289
Income taxes	24,564	9,680	(7,625)	26,619
Depreciation	8,188	4,171	262	12,621
Amortization	978	311	951	2,240
EBITDA	<u>73,916</u>	<u>29,945</u>	<u>(13,373)</u>	<u>90,488</u>
Add/(deduct):				
Legal expenses of OIG investigation	266	-	-	266
Acquisition expenses	-	35	-	35
Expenses of class action litigation	-	727	-	727
Expenses of securities litigation	-	-	197	197
Stock option expense	-	-	4,312	4,312
Advertising cost adjustment	-	(1,402)	-	(1,402)
Interest income	(72)	(10)	(28)	(110)
Intercompany interest income/(expense)	(1,566)	(825)	2,391	-
Adjusted EBITDA	<u>\$ 72,544</u>	<u>\$ 28,470</u>	<u>\$ (6,501)</u>	<u>\$ 94,513</u>

For the six months ended June 30, 2011	VITAS	Roto-Rooter	Corporate	Chemed Consolidated
Net income/(loss)	\$ 36,714	\$ 17,602	\$ (15,929)	\$ 38,387
Add/(deduct):				
Interest expense	110	142	6,453	6,705
Income taxes	22,257	10,828	(8,971)	24,114
Depreciation	8,366	4,009	271	12,646
Amortization	1,003	287	819	2,109
EBITDA	<u>68,450</u>	<u>32,868</u>	<u>(17,357)</u>	<u>83,961</u>
Add/(deduct):				
Legal expenses of OIG investigation	997	-	-	997
Acquisition expenses	115	(6)	-	109
Expenses of class action litigation	-	681	-	681
Long-term incentive compensation	-	-	3,012	3,012
Stock option expense	-	-	4,495	4,495
Advertising cost adjustment	-	(857)	-	(857)
Interest income	(44)	(16)	(63)	(123)
Intercompany interest income/(expense)	(2,428)	(1,291)	3,719	-
Adjusted EBITDA	<u>\$ 67,090</u>	<u>\$ 31,379</u>	<u>\$ (6,194)</u>	<u>\$ 92,275</u>

CHEMED CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY COMPANIES
OPERATING STATISTICS FOR VITAS SEGMENT
(unaudited)

OPERATING STATISTICS	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net revenue (\$000)				
Homecare	\$ 193,150	\$ 177,067	\$ 379,747	\$ 345,719
Inpatient	29,247	27,183	58,399	54,569
Continuous care	42,816	39,213	85,337	77,838
Total before Medicare cap allowance	\$ 265,213	\$ 243,463	\$ 523,483	\$ 478,126
Medicare cap allowance	-	(368)	2,577	642
Total	\$ 265,213	\$ 243,095	\$ 526,060	\$ 478,768
Net revenue as a percent of total before Medicare cap allowance				
Homecare	72.9 %	72.7 %	72.5 %	72.2 %
Inpatient	11.0	11.2	11.2	11.4
Continuous care	16.1	16.1	16.3	16.4
Total before Medicare cap allowance	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Medicare cap allowance	-	(0.2)	0.5	0.1
Total	100.0 %	99.8 %	100.5 %	100.1 %
Average daily census (days)				
Homecare	9,971	9,229	9,792	9,031
Nursing home	3,036	3,034	3,011	3,034
Routine homecare	13,007	12,263	12,803	12,065
Inpatient	466	447	469	449
Continuous care	638	601	635	602
Total	14,111	13,311	13,907	13,116
Total Admissions	15,912	15,294	32,234	31,092
Total Discharges	15,508	14,885	31,707	30,419
Average length of stay (days)	74.0	77.1	78.3	78.0
Median length of stay (days)	14.0	14.0	14.0	14.0
ADC by major diagnosis				
Neurological	33.6 %	34.2 %	34.0 %	34.2 %
Cancer	17.7	17.7	17.8	17.8
Cardio	11.6	11.5	11.5	11.7
Respiratory	6.7	6.9	6.7	6.8
Other	30.4	29.7	30.0	29.5
Total	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
Admissions by major diagnosis				
Neurological	18.9 %	19.4 %	19.2 %	19.5 %
Cancer	33.5	32.8	32.9	32.2
Cardio	10.8	10.8	11.3	11.0
Respiratory	8.1	8.5	8.5	8.8
Other	28.7	28.5	28.1	28.5
Total	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
Direct patient care margins				
Routine homecare	52.4 %	52.4 %	51.4 %	51.7 %
Inpatient	12.7	13.3	13.4	13.1
Continuous care	19.7	20.2	19.8	20.4
Homecare margin drivers (dollars per patient day)				
Labor costs	\$ 54.56	\$ 53.23	\$ 56.13	\$ 54.28
Drug costs	8.31	8.21	8.32	8.08
Home medical equipment	6.79	6.66	6.80	6.66
Medical supplies	2.79	2.83	2.77	2.79
Inpatient margin drivers (dollars per patient day)				
Labor costs	\$ 321.16	\$ 311.26	\$ 317.73	\$ 308.97
Continuous care margin drivers (dollars per patient day)				
Labor costs	\$ 569.98	\$ 550.40	\$ 569.76	\$ 547.29
Bad debt expense as a percent of revenues	0.8 %	0.8 %	0.8 %	0.7 %
Accounts receivable --				
Days of revenue outstanding- excluding unapplied Medicare payments	35.0	37.2	n.a	n.a
Days of revenue outstanding- including unapplied Medicare payments	30.6	36.8	n.a	n.a

Safe Harbor Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 Regarding Forward-Looking Information

Certain statements contained in this report are “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The words “believe”, “expect”, “hope”, “anticipate”, “plan” and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date the statement was made. These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assumptions and involve various known and unknown risks, uncertainties, contingencies and other factors, which could cause Chemed’s actual results to differ from those expressed in such forward-looking statements. Variances in any or all of the risks, uncertainties, contingencies, and other factors from our assumptions could cause actual results to differ materially from these forward-looking statements and trends. In addition, our ability to deal with the unknown outcomes of these events, many of which are beyond our control, may affect the reliability of projections and other financial matters. Investors are cautioned that such forward-looking statements are subject to inherent risk and there are no assurances that the matters contained in such statements will be achieved. Chemed does not undertake and specifically disclaims any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of a new information, future events or otherwise.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our primary market risk exposure relates to interest rate risk exposure through variable interest rate borrowings. At June 30, 2012, we had no variable rate debt outstanding. At June 30, 2012, the fair value of the Notes approximates \$188.0 million which have a face value of \$187.0 million.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision of our President and Chief Executive Officer and with the participation of the Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and the Vice President and Controller, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the President and Chief Executive Officer, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer and Vice President and Controller have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report. There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For information regarding the Company’s legal proceedings, see note 11, Legal and Regulatory Matters, under Part I, Item I of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in the Company’s most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**Item 2(c). Purchases of Equity Securities by Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers**

The following table shows the activity related to our share repurchase program for the first six months of 2012:

	Total Number of Shares Repurchased	Weighted Average Price Paid Per Share	Cumulative Shares Repurchased Under the Program	Dollar Amount Remaining Under The Program
<i>February 2011 Program</i>				
January 1 through January 31, 2012	-	\$ -	2,602,513	\$ 75,268,254
February 1 through February 29, 2012	-	-	2,602,513	75,268,254
March 1 through March 31, 2012	-	-	2,602,513	\$ 75,268,254
First Quarter Total - February 2011 Program	-	\$ -		
April 1 through April 30, 2012	-	\$ -	2,602,513	\$ 75,268,254
May 1 through May 31, 2012	168,812	55.77	2,771,325	65,853,060
June 1 through June 30, 2012	31,088	55.42	2,802,413	\$ 64,130,136
Second Quarter Total - February 2011 Program	199,900	\$ 55.72		

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

None

Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Certification by Kevin J. McNamara pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2	Certification by David P. Williams pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act of 1934.
31.3	Certification by Arthur V. Tucker, Jr. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Exchange Act of 1934.
32.1	Certification by Kevin J. McNamara pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification by David P. Williams pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.3	Certification by Arthur V. Tucker, Jr. pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Chemed Corporation

(Registrant)

Dated: August 2, 2012

By: /s/ Kevin J. McNamara
Kevin J. McNamara
(President and Chief Executive Officer)

Dated: August 2, 2012

By: /s/ David P. Williams
David P. Williams
(Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer)

Dated: August 2, 2012

By: /s/ Arthur V. Tucker, Jr.
Arthur V. Tucker, Jr.
(Vice President and Controller)

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULES 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

I, Kevin J. McNamara, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Chemed Corporation (“registrant”);
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant’s other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant’s other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant’s board of directors or persons performing the equivalent function:
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 2, 2012

/s/ Kevin J. McNamara
Kevin J. McNamara
(President and Chief
Executive Officer)

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULES 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

I, David P. Williams, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Chemed Corporation (“registrant”);
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant’s other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant’s other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant’s board of directors or persons performing the equivalent function:
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 2, 2012

/s/ David P. Williams
David P. Williams
(Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer)

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO RULES 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

I, Arthur V. Tucker, Jr., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Chemed Corporation (“registrant”);
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant’s other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles,
 - c) evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant’s other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant’s board of directors or persons performing the equivalent function:
 - a) all significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 2, 2012

/s/ Arthur V. Tucker, Jr.

Arthur V. Tucker, Jr.
(Vice President and
Controller)

CERTIFICATION BY KEVIN J. MCNAMARA
PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002.

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned, as President and Chief Executive Officer of Chemed Corporation (“Company”), does hereby certify that:

- 1) the Company’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ending June 30, 2012 (“Report”), fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: August 2, 2012

/s/ Kevin J. McNamara
Kevin J. McNamara
(President and Chief
Executive Officer)

CERTIFICATION BY DAVID P. WILLIAMS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002.

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned, as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Chemed Corporation ("Company"), does hereby certify that:

- 1) the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ending June 30, 2012 ("Report"), fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: August 2, 2012

/s/ David P. Williams
David P. Williams
(Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer)

CERTIFICATION BY ARTHUR V. TUCKER, JR.
PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002.

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned, as Vice President and Controller of Chemed Corporation (“Company”), does hereby certify that:

- 1) the Company’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ending June 30, 2012 (“Report”), fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: August 2, 2012

/s/ Arthur V. Tucker, Jr.
Arthur V. Tucker, Jr.
(Vice President and Controller)

